CFD modeling of precipitation of nanoparticles in Confined Impinging Jet Reactors

Y. S. de Gelicourt, D. L. Marchisio, A. A. Barresi, M. Vanni & G. Baldi

Dip. Scienza dei Materiali e Ingegneria Chimica – Politecnico di Torino

Motivation and goals

- • Organic actives are often dispersed in polymer structures forming nano-spheres and nano-capsules
- • The main advantages of these particulate systems are:
	- •Possibility of release of actives insoluble in water
	- •Controlled drug-delivery
	- •Passive and active targeting
	- •Increased lifetime in bloodstream
- •The polymer usually has to be hydrophilic, flexible and non-ionic

Motivation and goals

- • Very often block co-polymers are used: poly(MePEGCA-co-HDCA) poly (methoxypolyethyleneglycole cyanoacrylate – *co* – hexadecyl cyanoacrylate)
- •HDCA chains (hydrophobic) are inserted in the organic active core
- • PEG chains (hydrophylic) are oriented towards the water phase, forming a flexible protective layer that reduces the absorption of plasmatic proteins

Preparation

• Nano-particles are produced by precipitation (solvent displacement)

Effect of mixing

- • Mixing controls nucleation, molecular growth (and aggregation) rates and therefore controls the particle size distribution
- • Mixing (and cohesion forces) control the mass ratio of organic active/polymer in each particle

• Generally speaking good product quality is obtained with very high mixing rates

Aim of this work

- • Design, optimization and scale-up of a continuous process to produce significant amounts of particles with a certain size range ([≅]200 nm) and with a specific active-to-polymer ratio
- • CFD is used to simulate the precipitation process and its interaction with turbulent mixing
- •Reactor configuration: confined impinging jet reactor (CIJR)
- • Reacting systems:
	- •parallel reaction scheme
	- •barium sulphate precipitation
- •Real system: acetone-PEG-doxorubicine + water

Confined Impinging Jet Reactor

- • The flow regimes in the reactor are characterized by the jet Reynolds number
- • In this work we investigated 300<Re<3000 for $d=1$ mm/D=4.76 mm
- • Flow field simulations were run with LES and RANS approaches in Fluent 6.1.22

- • Different three dimensional unstructured grids were tested in order to find a grid independent solution
- •LES: \approx 500,000 cells for the full geometry
- •RANS: $\approx 100,000$ cells (with finer resolution near the walls)

Large Eddy Simulation

•Fluent: box filter with bandwidth Δ equal to the cell size

$$
\frac{\partial \overline{U}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \overline{U}_i \overline{U}_j}{\partial x_j} = v \frac{\partial^2 \overline{U}_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \overline{p}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}^r}{\partial x_j}
$$

• The residual stresses are closed by using the Smagorinsky model

$$
\tau_{ij}^r = -2\sqrt{\frac{S_{ij}}{V_r}} \qquad \text{filtered Strain rate}
$$
\n
$$
V_r = (C_S \Delta)^2 \overline{S} \qquad C_s = 0.08 - 0.10 - 0.12
$$

- •Next steps: implementation of a dynamic SGS model
- •Cluster: 6 Xeon bi-processors 2400 (MHz)

Large Eddy Simulation

- •Laminar inlet conditions (parabolic profile)
- •Instantaneous velocity magnitude for Re= 704 and Re=2696

Large Eddy Simulation

•Velocity magnitude for Re=3000

RANS

- • Different turbulence models have been tested: *k-*^ε, RNG *k-*^ε, relizable *k-*^ε, *k-*^ω, RSM
- • Different near wall treatments have been tested: standard wall function, non-equilibrium wall function, enhanced wall treatment
- • Results show that RSM with enhanced wall treatment gives the best agreement with time-averaged LES velocities
- • Further analysis needs experimental data or DNS data (Alfredo Soldati, University of Udine)

 \bullet In order to test mixing efficiency in the confined impinging jet reactor a parallel reaction has been used

$$
A + B \to R \qquad A + C \to S + (A)
$$

• The system can be described with mixture fraction and progress reaction variables

- •Micro-mixing is modeled with the DQMOM-IEM model
- •Functional form of the Probability Density Function:

$$
f(\xi; \mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) \delta[\xi - \xi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)]
$$

- •... where weights w_α and weighted abscissas $w_\alpha \xi_\alpha$ are calculated by solving their corresponding transport equations and forcing the moments of the PDF to be correctly predicted
- •With two nodes (*N=2*)

$$
m_0(\mathbf{x}, t) = p_1 + p_2 \qquad m_2(\mathbf{x}, t) = p_1 \xi_1^2 + p_2 \xi_2^2
$$

$$
m_1(\mathbf{x}, t) = p_1 \xi_1 + p_2 \xi_2 \qquad m_3(\mathbf{x}, t) = p_1 \xi_1^3 + p_2 \xi_2^3
$$

• Comparison between DQMOM-IEM and beta-PDF for the mixture fraction PDF

•Transport equations for weights and weighted abscissas

$$
\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial t} + \langle u_i \rangle \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[(\Gamma + \Gamma_t) \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_i} \right] = 0 \n\qquad p_2 = 1 - p_1
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial (p_1 \xi_1)}{\partial t} + \langle u_i \rangle \frac{\partial (p_1 \xi_1)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[(\Gamma + \Gamma_t) \frac{\partial (p_1 \xi_1)}{\partial x_i} \right] = \n\langle \mathcal{W}_1 p_2 (\xi_2 - \xi_1) + \frac{p \mathcal{C}_1 + p_2 \mathcal{C}_2}{\xi_1 - \xi_2} \n\qquad \qquad \mathcal{V} = \frac{C_\phi}{2 \varepsilon} \n\qquad \qquad c_1 = \Gamma_t \left(\frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial x_i} \right) \n\qquad \qquad c_2 = \Gamma_t \left(\frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial x_i} \right)
$$

- • The reacting system is described by transport equations for: p_1 $p_1 \xi_1$ $p_2 \xi_2$ $p_1 Y_{2,1}$ $p_2 Y_{2,2}$
- •... and algebraic equations for: $p_2 = 1 - p_1$ $Y_{1,1}^{\infty}$ $Y_{1,2}^{\infty}$ $p_2 = 1 - p_1$ $Y_{1,1}^{\infty}$ $Y_{1,2}^{\infty}$

• Comparison with experimental data from Johnson & Prud'homme (2003) for the CIJR

- •Different jet Reynolds numbers (80<Re<2500)
- •Different reactant concentration (Ba⁺⁺, SO₄ =)
- •Different reactant concentration ratio (Ba⁺⁺/SO₄ =)

 $Ba^{++} + SO_4^- \rightarrow BaSO_4$

- • The reaction is very fast and mixing sensitive
- • Relevant phenomena involved: nucleation, molecular growth and aggregation

•Effect of Reynolds number Ba^{++} :800 SO₄=:100 mol/m 3

- •The CFD model uses the DQMOM-IEM (*N*=2) for micromixing
- •Standard kinetic expressions for nucleation and growth

$$
B_{\text{hom}} = 1.5D_{AB} \left(\sqrt{k_{ps}} S N_A \right)^{7/3} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{CL}}{k_B T}} V_m \exp \left(-\frac{16\pi}{3} \left(\frac{\gamma_{CL}}{k_B T} \right)^3 \frac{V_m^2}{(\nu \ln S)^2} \right)
$$

$$
G = 2 \frac{S h D_{AB} \sqrt{k_{ps}} M}{\rho} \frac{S - 1}{L}
$$

$$
S = \gamma_{\pm} \sqrt{\frac{c_A c_B}{k_{ps}}}
$$

•Brownian aggregation kernel

$$
\beta = \frac{2k_B T}{3\mu} (L_1 + L_2) \left(\frac{1}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L_2}\right)\alpha
$$

- • The population balance equation is solved by using the QMOM (for the two reacting environments)
- • The Particle Size Distribution is computed through the moments of the distribution (*k*=0,…,3) *N*+∞

$$
m_k(\mathbf{x},t) = \int\limits_0^{+\infty} n(L;\mathbf{x},t) L^k dL \approx \sum_{i=1}^N w_i L_i^k
$$

$$
\frac{\partial m_k(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\langle u_i \rangle m_k(\mathbf{x},t)) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\Gamma_t \frac{\partial m_k(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0^k J(\mathbf{x},t) \n+ k \sum_{i=1}^N G(L_i) w_i L_i^{k-1} + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \sum_{j=1}^N w_j (L_i^3 + L_j^3)^{k/3} \beta(L_i, L_j) - \sum_{i=1}^N w_i L_i^k \sum_{j=1}^N w_j \beta(L_i, L_j).
$$

Re=2696

 $\rm c_{A0}$ = $\rm c_{B0}$ =100 mol/m³

Supersaturation Nucleation rate $(1/m^3s)$

Computational Fluid Dynamics in Chemical Reaction Engineering Conference 19-24 June 2005, Barga, Italy

Growth rate (m/s)

- •Effect of the aggregation efficiency on the final mean particle size
- •Crystallite size from X-ray measurements ≈20-40 nm

Step 3: acetone-PEG-doxorubicine/water

- •Thermodynamics data concerning polymer and active solubility
- •Bivariate population balance equation: DQMOM
- •Validation by comparison with Monte Carlo simulations

Step 3: acetone-PEG-doxorubicine/water

•Validation by comparison with Monte Carlo simulations

 $\tau = t \ \beta(0) m_{00}(0)$

Conclusions and next steps

- • The flow field in the confined impinging jet reactor has been modeled with RANS and LES (further validation with DNS data)
- • Micromixing is taken into account with the DQMOM-IEM model and validation is carried out by comparison with experimental data from literature
- • The population balance is described with QMOM (monovariate) and DQMOM (bivariate) resulting in a small number of additional scalars (4-8)
- • Simulation of the real process will be carried out when thermodynamic and kinetic expressions will be available

Acknowledgements

- •Liliana Rivautella (BaSO4 precipitation experiments)
- •Emmanuela Gavi (CIJR simulations)
- •Funding from the European project PRATSOLIS

